## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION

United States of America	)	Case No. CR (8-143-3C)
Plaintiff, v.	)	STIPULATED ORDER EXCLUDING TIME UNDER THE SPEEDY TRIAL ACT
Andrea Seja Defendant.	)	JUL 1 3 2018
Defendant.	)	SUSAN CONG CLERK, U.S. OF CALIFORNIA
For the reasons stated by the parties on the record on July 13, 2018, the Court excludes time under the Speedy Trial Act from July 13, 2018 to August 24, 2018 and finds that the ends of justice served by the continuance outweigh the best interest of the public and the defendant in a speedy trial. See 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(A). The Court makes this finding and bases this continuance on the following factor(s):		
Failure to grant a See 18 U.S.C. § 3		ly to result in a miscarriage of justice.
defendants, or law, that it is un	the nature of the prosecut preasonable to expect adec	ion, or the existence of novel questions of fact quate preparation for pretrial proceedings or the trial his section. See 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B)(ii).
		ne defendant reasonable time to obtain counsel, ence. See 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B)(iv).
	heduled case commitment	onably deny the defendant continuity of counsel, given s, taking into account the exercise of due diligence.
	ctive preparation, taking in	onably deny the defendant the reasonable time nto account the exercise of due diligence.
IT IS SO ORDE	RED.	
DATED: 7/13/18		JOSEPH C. SPERO
N		Chief Magistrate Judge
STIPULATED: Attorney	for Defendant	Assistant United States Attorney